### South Dakota Department of Labor and Regulation Request for Proposal # 2735: Provision of Adult Education and Literacy Services in South Dakota -Application Rubric-

### Instructions for Readers:

Per §231 of the **Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act** entitled *Grants and Contracts for Eligible Providers*,<sup>1</sup> the Agency (i.e., the South Dakota Department of Labor and Regulation) must consider specific criteria in its awarding of Title II funds.

The Agency has categorized these federal criteria into six (6) sections; each section has been assigned a maximum value ranging from 10 to 30 points. The Agency emphasizes that the "Comments" are especially important to justify and contextualize why full points are <u>not</u> awarded in a particular section. And while you are not necessarily expected to scrutinize every single facet of Adult Education methodology, the Agency would especially value your comments and perspective related to your expertise, interests, job duties, involvement with Title II activities, and your previous experience (if any) with the Applicant.

### \*\*\*\*\*

Additionally, consistent with **South Dakota Codified Law** 5-18D-18,<sup>2</sup> the State requires the Agency to include the following criteria for this RFP's evaluation:

- Specialized expertise, capabilities, and technical competence as demonstrated by the proposed approach and methodologies to meet the project requirements;
- Resources available to perform the work, including any specialized services, within the specified time limits for the project;
- Record of past performance, including price and cost data from previous projects, quality of work, ability to meet schedules, cost control, and contract administration;
- Availability to the project locale;
- Familiarity with the project locale;
- Proposed project management techniques; and
- Ability and proven history in handling special project constraints.

These state-level criteria should be scored separately (from the federal considerations) with a maximum value of 5 points for each criterion.

Thank you for your assistance in reviewing the application(s).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-113publ128/pdf/PLAW-113publ128.pdf</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>http://sdlegislature.gov/Statutes/Codified\_Laws/DisplayStatute.aspx?Type=Statute&Statute=5-18D-18</u>

## **Federal Criteria**

Categories	Scoring Range	Preliminary Score	Final Score
1. Service Need and Provider Capacity	0 - 30		
2. Quality and Effectiveness	0 - 25		
3. Contextualized Learning and Civic Engagement	0 - 10		
4. Alignment and Coordination	0 - 15		
5. Access and Supporting Services	0 - 10		
6. Technology and Data Management	0 - 10		
TOTAL SCORE	0 - 100		

1. Service N	leed and Provider Capaci	<b>ity –</b> award	points based upon	
-	roposal's detail of the servic are most in need of literacy s		eds and the Applicant's capacity to cluding individuals	o serve individuals
0	who have low levels of lit	toracy skills		
0		-		
0			s; and/or	
0			iduals with learning disabilities.	
			WIOA Consider	ations I, II, and XIII
Preliminary So	core for Section 1:	/ 30	Final Score for Section 1:	/ 30
Comments (if	applicable, please be certa	ain to note	why you did not award full points	5):

2.	Quality	and Effect	iveness – a	ward poin	its base	ed upon	
	<ul> <li>whet</li> <li>whet</li> <li>whet</li> <li>Engli</li> <li>rigor</li> <li>educ</li> <li>whet</li> </ul>	the App who hav her the Ap is of suf so that uses ins instruct her the Ap sh language ous researce ational pra her the Ap nistrators [	licant's abili ve low levels olicant's pro ficient inten participants tructional p on; olicant's act e acquisition h available ctice; and olicant's act	ity to meet s of literact ogram asity and qu achieve su ractices th ivities (incl n instructic and appro ivities are	t target y; uality, ubstan at inclu luding on) are priate, deliver	ing the literacy of [eligible] individuals t-levels of performance, especially with individuals and based on the most rigorous research available tial learning gains; ude the essential components of reading reading, writing, speaking, mathematics, and based on the best practices derived from the mos , including scientifically valid research and effective red by well-trained instructors, counselors, and ality professional development, including electronic	t
	mea						
						WIOA Considerations III V/ VI and	IV
Pre	liminary	core for Se	ection 2:		/ 25	WIOA Considerations III, V, VI, and Final Score for Section 2:	IX 25
	-						
	-			certain to		Final Score for Section 2:/	
	-			certain to		Final Score for Section 2:/	
	-			certain to		Final Score for Section 2:/	
	-			certain to		Final Score for Section 2:/	

	-		<b>ent</b> – award points based up	
		-	es and how these services wi	
			ave the skills needed to trans	-
-	-		ograms, obtain and advance	
	ading to economic self- zenship.	-sufficiency], ar	nd to exercise the rights and r	esponsibilities of
CITIZ	zensnip.			
			W	/IOA Consideration V
Preliminary Sco	ore for Section 3:	/ 10	Final Score for Section 3:	/

1 Alianmo	nt and Coordination – award poin	ats based upon					
4. Alightine	<b>int and Coordination</b> – award poin	its based upon					
• how t	the Applicant's proposed instruction	n and activities will align with the services of	the One-				
	[and its partners]	rand detivities will alger with the services of					
•	<ul> <li>the Department of Labor and Regulation (e.g., Workforce Training, Wagner-Peyser,</li> </ul>						
-	TANF, SNAP, Veteran Services, e		- / /				
С							
		vith other education, training, and social serv	vice				
-	irces in the community including						
С	, .	tsecondary educational institutions;					
С	<b>.</b>	,					
С	Community-based or nonprofit of	organizations; and					
C	any other relevant entities [for t	he development of career pathways].					
		WIOA Consideratio	ons IV and X				
Preliminary S		AE Einel Coons for Continue A	/ 15				
r reminury 5	core for Section 4:/	15 Final Score for Section 4:	/ 15				
-			/ 15				
-		bte why you did not award full points):	/13				
-			/15				
-			/13				
-			/13				
-			/13				
-			/13				
-			/13				
-			/13				
-			/13				
-			/ 13				
-			/13				
-			/13				
-			/13				
-			/ 13				
-			/13				
-			/ 13				
-			/13				
-			/ 13				

### 5. Access and Supporting Services—award points based upon

 whether the Applicant's activities offer flexible schedules and coordination with federal, state, and local support services (such as childcare, transportation, mental health services, and career planning) that are necessary to enable individuals, including individuals with disabilities or other special needs, to attend and complete programs.

#### WIOA Consideration XI

Preliminary Score for Section 5:/ 10	Final Score for Section 5:	/ 10
--------------------------------------	----------------------------	------

Comments (if applicable, please be certain to note why you did not award full points):

6. Technology and Data Management—	award	points based upon
<ul><li>[including distance education] to imp</li><li>whether the Applicant has the capaci</li></ul>	rove pa ity to m	r employ technology and the use of computers articipant-performance; and aintain a high-quality management information icipant outcomes and to monitor program
		WIOA Considerations VII and XII
Preliminary Score for Section 6:	_ / 10	Final Score for Section 6:/ 10
Comments (if applicable, please be certain to	o note	why you did not award full points):

# State Criteria

Criteria	5	4	3	2	1	0
<ul> <li>A. Specialized expertise, capabilities, and technical competence as demonstrated by the proposed approach and methodologies to meet the project requirements</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>B. Resources available to perform the work, including any specialized services, within the specified time limits for the project</li> </ul>						
C. Record of past performance, including price and cost data from previous projects, quality of work, ability to meet schedules, cost control, and contract administration						
D. Availability to the project locale						
E. Familiarity with the project locale						
F. Proposed project management techniques						
G. Ability and proven history in handling special project constraints						
Total [State Criteria] Score				_ / 35		

Comments (if applicable, please be certain to note why you did not award full points):

## **Final Score Summary**

State Criteria	total	_ / 35	Federal Criteria total	/ 100
Proposal is				
	nded with ch nded with rea nmended	anges (*see notes belov servation (*see notes be		
Rankir	ng (if applicab	le)		
Additional Cor	nments:			

