### STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND REGULATION DIVISION OF INSURANCE

IN THE MATTER OF	ì	
ALICIA WARD	)	FINAL DECISION
	)	INS 23-009

After reviewing the record and the proposed order of the Hearing Examiner in this matter,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that pursuant to SDCL 1-26D-4, the Hearing Examiner's Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Proposed Order, dated May 11, 2023, is adopted in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the South Dakota Non-resident Insurance Producer License of the respondent will hereby be revoked.

Parties are hereby advised of the right to further appeal the final decision to Circuit Court within (30) days of receiving such decision, pursuant to the authority of SDCL 1-26.

Dated this 22 day of May, 2023.

Marcia Hultman, Secretary

South Dakota Department of Labor and Regulation

700 Governors Drive

Pierre, SD 57501

# STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND REGULATION DIVISION OF INSURANCE

IN THE MATTER OF ALICIA WARD

INS 22-009

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION OF LAW, AND DECISION AND FINAL DECISION

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that attached hereto, is a true and correct copy of the Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision, and Final Decision entered by Marcia Hultman, Secretary of the South Dakota Department of Labor and Regulation, on May 22, 2023.

Dated May 26, 2023 in Pierre, SD.

Frank A. Marnell, Senior Legal Counsel

South Dakota Division of Insurance

124 S. Euclid Ave., 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

Pierre, SD 57501 (605) 773-3563

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of the Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision, and Final Decision with respect to the above-entitled action was sent U.S. Certified Mail and first class mail thereon, to the following:

ALICIA WARD 1408 Windy Ridge CT SE Conyers, GA 30013

Dated May 26, 2023 in Pierre, SD.

Lisa M. Harmon, Legal Counsel South Dakota Division of Insurance

124 S. Euclid Ave., 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

Pierre, SD 57501 (605) 773-3563

## STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA OFFICE OF HEARING EXAMINERS

### IN THE MATTER OF ALICIA WARD

#### INS 23-009 PROPOSED DECISION

This matter came for hearing before the Office of Hearing Examiners on May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2023 pursuant to a Notice of Hearing issued by the South Dakota Division of Insurance ("Division") on March 17<sup>th</sup>, 2023. Frank Marnell appeared as counsel for the Division with a witness, Gavin Munson. ALICIA WARD did not appear, either in person or through counsel. The Division made a motion for summary disposition of this case, admitting its Exhibits 1 through 5 into evidence, and asking that the Hearing Examiner enter these Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Proposed Decision as a default disposition to this contested case. That motion granted, the Hearing Examiner enters this Proposed Decision.

#### **ISSUE**

Whether the Non-Resident Insurance Producer License of ALICIA WARD should be revoked due to failing to timely respond to the Division; failing to timely report administrative actions to the Division; for demonstrating incompetence, untrustworthiness, or financial irresponsibility in the conduct of business in this state or elsewhere; and for having administrative actions in other states resulting in revocation and denial of licenses in violation of SDCL 58-30-167(2), (8), and (9), 58-30-193, 58-33-66, 58-33-68 and 58-30-193.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. ALICIA WARD was licensed by the Division as an insurance producer on March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2022 The license is currently active. (Exhibit 1).
- 2. ALICIA WARD was the subject of administrative actions in other jurisdictions:
  - a. State of Kansas, April 1<sup>st</sup>, 2022, denied ALICIA WARD's license application due to criminal history. (Exhibit 2);
  - b. State of Louisiana, September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2022, revoked ALICIA WARD's license due to failing to report other state actions. (Exhibit 3);
- 4. ALICIA WARD did not report the administrative actions to the Division.
- 5. The Division sent inquiries to ALICIA WARD at the address of record regarding licensure matters. (Exhibits 4-5).
- 6. ALICIA WARD did not respond to the Division's inquiries. (Exhibits 4-5).
- 7. Any additional Findings of Fact included in the Reasoning section of this decision are incorporated herein by reference.

8. To the extent any of the foregoing are improperly designated and are instead conclusions of law, they are hereby redesignated and incorporated herein as conclusions of law.

#### REASONING

This case involves a request by the Division to revoke the South Dakota Insurance Producer's License of ALICIA WARD. As a consequence of the potential loss of Respondent's livelihood from the lack of licensure, the burden of proof in this matter is higher than the preponderance of evidence standard, which applies in a typical administrative hearing. "In matters concerning the revocation of a professional license, we determine that the appropriate standard of proof to be utilized by an agency is clear and convincing evidence." *In re Zar*, 434 N.W.2d 598, 602 (S.D. 1989). Our Supreme Court has defined "clear and convincing evidence" as follows:

The measure of proof required by this designation falls somewhere between the rule in ordinary civil cases and the requirement of our criminal procedure, that is, it must be more than a mere preponderance but not beyond a reasonable doubt. It is that measure or degree of proof which will produce in the mind of the trier of facts a firm belief or conviction as to the allegations sought to be established. The evidence need not be voluminous or undisputed to accomplish this.

Brown v. Warner, 78 S.D. 647, 653, 107 NW2d 1, 4 (1961).

SDCL 58-30-193 states that "[A]n insurance producer shall report to the director any administrative action taken against the insurance producer in another jurisdiction... within thirty days of the final disposition of the matter. This report shall include a copy of the order, consent order, or other relevant legal documents." SDCL 58-33-66(1) requires ALICIA WARD to respond to the Division and supply requested documents within twenty days from the receipt of a request. In addition, the Division considers SDCL 58-30-167 (shown in pertinent part) as follows:

The director may... revoke or refuse to continue, any license issued under this chapter... after a hearing... The director may... revoke... an insurance producer's... for any one or more of the following causes:

- (2) Violating any insurance laws or rules, subpoena, or order of the director or of another state's insurance director, commissioner, or superintendent;
- (8) Using fraudulent, coercive, or dishonest practices, or demonstrating incompetence, untrustworthiness, or financial irresponsibility in the conduct of business in this state or elsewhere;
- (9) Having an insurance producer license, or its equivalent, denied, suspended, or revoked in any other state, province, district, or territory;

The evidence indicates that ALICIA WARD violated the insurance laws of other jurisdictions, failed to report those actions revoking their license and denying an application. During the Division's investigation, ALICIA WARD failed to respond to Division inquiries regarding the action. The evidence further indicates that ALICIA WARD used fraudulent, coercive, or dishonest practices, or demonstrated incompetence or untrustworthiness in the conduct of business. Applying the law to the Findings of Fact it is clear the Insurance Producer License of ALICIA WARD is subject to revocation and should be revoked.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Division has jurisdiction over ALICIA WARD and the subject matter of this contested case. The Office of Hearing Examiners is authorized to conduct the hearing and issue a proposed decision pursuant to SDCL 1-26D-4.
- 2. The Division bears the burden of establishing the alleged statutory violations by clear and convincing evidence.
- 3. The Division established by clear and convincing evidence that ALICIA WARD violated SDCL 58-30-193.
- 4. The Division established by clear and convincing evidence that ALICIA WARD violated SDCL 58-33-66.
- 5. The Division established by clear and convincing evidence that the South Dakota Non-Resident Insurance Producer License of ALICIA WARD is subject to revocation pursuant to SDCL 58-33-167(2), (8) and (9).
- 6. Any additional Conclusions of Law included in the Reasoning section of this decision are incorporated herein by reference.
- 7. To the extent any of the foregoing are improperly designated and are instead findings of fact, they are hereby redesignated and incorporated herein as Findings of Fact.

Based on the above Findings of Fact, Reasoning, and Conclusions of Law, the Hearing Examiner enters the following:

#### PROPOSED DECISION

The South Dakota Non-Resident Insurance Producer License of ALICIA WARD should be revoked.

Dated this [

day of May, 2023.

Ryan Darling, Hearing Examiner

Office of Hearing Examiners

523 East Capitol

Pierre, SD 57501

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify on May  $\underline{\coprod}$ , 2023, at Pierre, South Dakota, a true and correct copy of this Proposed Decision was mailed to each of the parties below.

Office of Hearing Examiners

ALICIA WARD 1408 Windy Ridge CT SE Conyers, GA 30013

Frank A. Marnell South Dakota Division of Insurance 124 S. Euclid Ave., 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Pierre, SD 57501